

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: October 16, 2014

* * * * *		UNPUBLISHED
DESIREE ROBERTS,	*	No. 13-669V
	*	
Petitioner,	*	
	*	Special Master Dorsey
v.	*	
	*	Attorney's Fees and Costs;
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	Reasonable Amount Requested to which
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	Respondent Does not Object.
	*	
Respondent.	*	
	*	
* * * * *	*	

Jeffrey S. Pop, Beverly Hills, CA, for petitioner.

Lindsay Corliss, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS DECISION¹

On September 11, 2013, Desiree Roberts (“petitioner”) filed a petition pursuant to the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.² 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2006). Petitioner alleged that she suffered “severe anaphylactic responses and chronic cross reactive allergic reactions to non-vaccine related antigenic stimuli” that was caused or substantially aggravated by an MMR vaccine administered to her on September 22, 2010. *See* Petition at 1-2. On September 8, 2014, a decision was entered based on the parties’ stipulation awarding compensation to petitioner.

¹ Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for the undersigned’s action in this case, the undersigned intends to post this decision on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the entire decision will be available to the public. *Id.*

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2006) (Vaccine Act or the Act). All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

On October 16, 2014, the parties filed a Stipulation of Facts Concerning Final Attorneys' Fees and Costs. According to the stipulation, the parties stipulate to a total award to petitioner of attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$22,636.66. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner did not personally advance any reimbursable costs in pursuit of his claim.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 300 aa-15(e). Based on the reasonableness of the parties' stipulation, the undersigned **GRANTS** the request for approval and payment of attorney's fees and costs.

Accordingly, an award should be made as follows:

in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and to petitioner's attorney, Jeffrey S. Pop, in the amount of **\$22,636.66**.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.